References

1. Attorney General Reference (No.3 of 1999), (2000) 1 All.E.R. 577.
2. Bengal Immunity Co. Ltd. V.State of Bihar, A.I.R.1955 S.C.661.
3. Brown D.K.,(2012), "Federal Mens Rea Interpretation and the Limits of Culpability's Relevance", Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol.75, Pp.109-131.
4. Brudney J.J. and Ditslear C.,(1958), "The Warp and Woof of Statutory Interpretation: Comparing Supreme Court Approaches in Tax Law and Workplace Law ", Duke Law Journal, Vol.58;1231, Pp.1231-1311.
5. Buell S.W., (2008), "Time for a Twenty First Century Justice Department" Federal Sentencing Reporter, Vol.20 Pp.326-328.
6. Carrington,P.D. (1954), "The Moral Quality of Criminal Law", Northwestern University Law Review, Vol.54, Pp.575-586.
7. Chemerinsky Erwin, (2001), "Law Enforcement and Criminal Law Decisions", Pepperdine Law Review, Vol.28:517, Pp. 517-546.
8. Constable Marianne, (2012), "Speaking of the Imperfect", NoFo, Vol.9, Pp.58-67.
9. Corrigan & Thomas (2003), "Rules of Statutory Interpretation", 59 NYU Annual Survey of American Law, P.231, 238.
10. Davis Fredrick,(1981), "Judicial Review of Rule Making: New Patterns and New Problems", Duke Law Review, Vol.1981:279, Pp.279-308.
11. Deshpande S. (2003), Role of judiciary in the modern context – The need of logical and functional interpretation for socio-legal problems, AIR 2003 Journal 280.
12. ElhaugeEiner,(2008), Statutory Default Rules: How to interpret Unclear Legislation, Harward University Press, P.237-239, ISBN 978-0-02460-1.
13. Frank Jerome, Law and Modern Mind,1930.
14. GianKaur V. State of Punjab A.I.R. 1996 S.C.1257.
15. Hall Livingstone,(1935), "Strict or Liberal Construction of Penal Statutes", Harvard Law Review, Vol.48, Issue 5, Pp.748-774.
16. Hegde Santosh N. (2002) 4 SCC 234, para 22

17. Jong Ferry, (2011), The End of Doctrine?On the Symbolic Foundation of Doctrine in Substantive Criminal Law, Utrecht Law Review, Vol.7, Issue 3, P.8-45;
18. Jong Ferry,(2013), A Reciprocal Turn in Criminal Justice? Shifting Conceptions of Legitimate Authority, Utrecht Law Review, Vol.9, Issue 1, P.1-23;
19. Lonnguist Tobias,(2003), "The Trend Towards Purposive Statutory Interpretation: Human Rights at Stake", Revenue Law Journal, Vol.13, Issue 1.
20. Lord Millett (1999) 20 Statute Law Review 107 p.110
21. MandalGopalan V. Rahim, 1977 Ker.L.T.386.
22. Mattar Mohamed Y., (2011), "Interpreting Judicial Interpretation of the Criminal Statutes of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act: Ten Tears Later", American University Journal of Gender Social Policy and Law, Vol.19, Issue 4, Pp. 1248-1280.
23. Mohammed SahebHussain (2005), Strict Construction of Penal Statutes, Indian Bar Review, Vol. XXXII (1 & 2).
24. Nourse V.F.(2012), "A decision Theory of Statutory Interpretation: Legislative History by the Rules", Yale Law Journal, Vol.122, Issue 1, P.70.
25. P. Rathinam V. Union of India, A.I.R. 1994 S.C.1844.
26. Parrillo N.R.,(2013), "Leviathan and Interpretive Revolution: The Administrative State, the Judiciary and the Rise of Legislative History, 1890-1950", Yale Law Journal, Vol.123, Issue 2, P.266.
27. Popkin W.D., (1993), "Law Making Responsibility and Statutory Interpretation", Indiana Law Journal, Vol.68, Issue3, Pp. 865-891.
28. Sabine Gless and Varvaele J.A.E., (2013), Law should govern: Aspiring General Principles of Transnational Criminal Justice,Utrecht Law Review, Vol.9, Issue 4, P.1-10;
29. Salmond, "Jurisprudence", 11th edition, P.152.
30. Samsell T., (2007), "Reviving Saucier: Prospective Interpretations of Criminal Laws", Geo. Mason Law Review, Vol.14:3, Pp.725-767.
31. Sannders K.W.,(1986), "Interpretative Rules with Legislative Effect: An Analysis and a proposal for Public Participation", Duke Law Journal, Vol.1986:346, Pp.346-383.
32. Schroeder C.H.,(1951), "Causes of the Recent Turn in Constitutional Interpretation", Duke Law Journal, Vol.51:307, Pp.307-361.

33. Siegel J.R., (2005), “The Polymorphic Principle and the Judicial Role in Statutory Interpretation”, Texas Law Review, Vol.84:339, Pp.339-394.
34. Sinclair Michael (2006), “Llewellyn’s Dueling Cannons, One to Seven: A Critique”, New York Law School Law Review, Vol.51, 2006.
35. Solan L.M.(2002), “ Should Criminal Statutes be Interpreted Dynamically?”, Issues in Legal Scholarship.
36. State of Maharashtra V. Dr.P.B.Desai, (2003) 4 S.C.C.601.
37. Thakur T.S. in M.S.R. Leathers Vs. S. Palaniappan, (2013) 1 SCC 177, para 29.
38. Tope T.K. (1988), “Supreme Court of India and Social Jurisprudence”, (1988) 1 SCC (J) 8
39. Tutt Andrew, (2013), “Interpretation Step Zero: A Limit on Methodology as Law”, The Yale Law Journal, Vol.122:2055, Pp. 2055-2067.
40. Vishakha V. State of Rajasthan, A.I.R.1997 S.C.3011.
41. Wells Jr.E.K., (1949), “Strict Interpretation of Penal Statutes of Virginia”, William and Mary Review of Virginia Law, Vol.1, Issue 1, Pp.12-15.
42. Yule Kim (2008), (Legislative Attorney, American Law Division), “Statutory Interpretation: General Principles and Recent Trends”